

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/228,109	9 01/11/99	BRADY		[4]	0166
			\neg	EX	CAMINER
		QM12/0301			
ROGER S D'	YBVIG			WATTS.	
22 GREEN 9	STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DAYTON OH	45402			3724	7
				DATE MAILED.	03/01/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	09/228 134	Brocky		
	Examiner D-WAT	Group Art Unit 75 3724		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet be	eneath the correspondence address—		
Period for Response				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	E IS SET TO EXPIRE The	MONTH(S) FROM THE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30 - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response. 	D) days, a response within the statutor , by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ry minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timel from the mailing date of this communication.		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	/14/99			
☑ This action is FINAL.	,			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayl				
Disposition of Claims				
\mathbb{Z} Claim(s) $3-5$, $7-13$		is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	Of the above claim(s)			
∠ Claim(s) 3-3 7-9		is/are allowed.		
✓ Claim(s) 3-3 7-9 ✓ Claim(s) 3-3 7-9	· 3	is/are allowed.		
 ✓ Claim(s) ✓ Claim(s) ✓ Claim(s) 	<u> </u>	is/are allowed.		
✓ Claim(s)	· 3	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election		
☐ Claim(s)	· 3	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)	′.3	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	Prawing Review, PTO-948.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are	Prawing Review, PTO-948.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	Prawing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ objected to by the Examiner.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	Prawing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ objected to by the Examiner.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	Prawing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ objected to by the Examiner.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.		
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	Prawing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ objected to by the Examiner. iner. prity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(a)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.		
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority and priority is made of the CERTIFIED cop	orawing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ objected to by the Examiner. iner. prity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.		
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority in the company of the CERTIFIED copports of the CERTIFIED copports of the company o	Prawing Review, PTO-948. is approved objected to by the Examiner. iner. prity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(a) ies of the priority documents have Number) he International Bureau (PCT Re	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. d). ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).		
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority in the company of the CERTIFIED coppered in company of the CERTIFIED coppered in company of the co	Prawing Review, PTO-948. is approved objected to by the Examiner. iner. prity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(a) ies of the priority documents have Number) he International Bureau (PCT Re	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. d). ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).		
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign price All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copereceived. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Preceived in this national stage application from the Certified copies not received:	orawing Review, PTO-948. is ☐ approved ☐ objected to by the Examiner. iner. prity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-(a)-	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. d). ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).		
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority in the control of the CERTIFIED coperactive in Application No. (Series Code/Serial of the control of the contro	Orawing Review, PTO-948. is approved objected to by the Examiner. iner. ority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(a) ies of the priority documents have Number) he International Bureau (PCT Recompany) aper No(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. d). ve been ule 1 7.2(a)).		

Application/Control Number: 09/228,109 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Presto manual (ref. AU) or O'Bannon. The horizontal projection on the Presto device would function to hole shears. The sharpener on the O'Bannon device would function to hold shears.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Presto manual (ref. AU) in view of Hoover et al and Rauh. The rational of paragraph 4 of the last Office action is incorporated herein by reference.

Application/Control Number: 09/228,109 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-5, 7-9 are allowed.

Conclusion

6.. The remarks have been considered but are not convincing. It is felt that claim 10 is anticipated by the prior art and the other claims are met as set forth in the rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/228,109

Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Watts whose telephone number is (703) 308-0153.

Douglas D. Watts Primary Examiner

Dulo / Thomas

DDW

February 25, 2000